UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,144	07/13/2004	Gerald Serres Vives	SERRES VIVES2 5363	
1444 BROWDY AN	7590 11/29/2007 ID NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.	EXAMINER		
624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			AKBAR, MUHAMMAD A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/501,144	VIVES ET AL.	VIVES ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Muhammad Akbar	2618			

	Munammad Akbar	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compli following time periods: 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth than SIX MONTHS from the mailing d (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH f).	ate of the final rejection. E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the ped of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fe statutory period for reply originally set	ee. The appropriate externing in the final Office action	nsion fee under 37 or (2) &astsein (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compositing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expine a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must based AMENDMENTS 	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); educing or simplifying	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	·	·
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other:		in condition for allowa	nce because:

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1: The proposed claim limitations raised a new issues that would require further search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Re claim 16: The applicant argues on page 1 5 that "equally distinguishes patentably over any reasonable combination of the applied references". The examiner respectfully disagrees. The reference Fitzgerald teaches a baby monitoring system (100 of fig.3) comprising: baby unit (300 of fig.2,3) i.e. transmitter unit that have a front unit (307 of fig.3,4) and rear housing side (309 of fig.3,4), and front unit (307) can be removed for supporting to allow other structures (like soothing unit) inside of the transmitter unit (300); and dimension of transmitter unit (300) is greater than receiver unit (200) and soothing unit (400) (see fig.3,4,5,6 and col. 5 lines 23 -42). [Transceiver set has a transmitter and receiver unit, since front unit need to remove for inserting the receiver unit therefore front unit has a greater dimension than receiver unit].

However, Welch teaches portable safety monitor for safety of child (same field of endeavor) transceiver set comprising: main monitor (12 of fig.1) i.e. transmitter (12 G), receiver and remote monitor unit (14 of fig.1) i.e. receiver unit, wherein main monitor unit (12) i.e. transmitter unit is selected to allow storage of remote monitor unit (12) i.e. receiver unit (see fig.1and col. 7 lines 1 -32); and Welch further teaches main monitor (12) i.e. transmitter unit comprises battery case (12 I of fig.2A).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the front cover unit of baby monitoring unit i.e. transmitter unit which can be removed from rear unit (as taught by Fitzgerald) by incorporating the teaching of Welch wherein transmitter unit (12) is selected to allow storage of receiver unit (14) for holding together as one unit and convenience for charging both unit, as well as making a baby monitor transmitter device (Fitzgerald's transmitter) portable or movable without producing any new and unexpected result involves only routine skill in the art [see In re Lindberg, 93 USPQ 23 (CCPA 1952)].

11-15-67

LANA LE PRIMARY EXAMINER